

# The Impact of Honeynets for CSIRTs

18<sup>th</sup> Annual FIRST Conference June 28th 2006

DFN-CERT Services GmbH

Jan Kohlrausch and Jochen Schönfelder



- DFN-CERT: <u>Computer Emergency Response</u>
   <u>Team for German research network (DFN).</u>
- Constituency are mainly German universities and research institutes.



- Honeypots at the DFN-CERT
  - Participant of the eCSIRT and leurre.com projects.
  - Deployment of nepenthes sensors to capture known malware.
  - Use of sensor networks to collect netflow data.
  - Import and integration of this data into a relational database.
    - Support for incident handling service.
    - Identification of compromised systems.
    - Database allows to find correlations between incidents.
    - Compilation of statistics showing current situation.



- Current situation, what we can see:
  - Massive non-selective compromise of systems for building bot-networks.
    - Abuse of bot-networks for DDoS attacks and phishing attacks.
    - Vulnerable systems are identified by massive scanning activity (e.g. class-B networks).
  - Time interval from publication of vulnerability to exploit decreases constantly.
  - Number of zero-day exploits for unknown vulnerabilities increase constantly.
  - Web-browser and common server programs are investigated by black-hats for unknown vulnerabilities.



- Current situation, what is difficult for us to see at the moment:
  - Selective attacks:
    - Since selective attacks do not leave behind any obvious traces they are in general very difficult to detect.
    - No obvious network activity originates from compromised hosts.
  - Early deployment of zero-day exploits:
    - How to distinguish from known exploits?
    - Early deployment of zero-day exploits is nearly invisible in background noise!

#### **Honeynet Projects**



- Ecsirt and leurre.com
  - Deployment of widespread network of low-interaction honeypots.
    - Malware (e.g. trojans and exploit code) can be automaticly captured (by nepenthes sensor).
      - This provides help to track down IRC based bot networks.
    - Compilation of statistics concerning abuse of known vulnerabilities can be done.

#### **Honeynet Projects**



- Ecsirt and leurre.com
  - Advantage:
    - Identification of compromised systems:
      - Scanning systems
      - Known internet worms
    - Approaches are very effective concerning known vulnerabilities and non-selective attacks.
  - Disadvantage:
    - Detection of selective attacks and zero-day exploits is beyond the scope of these projects!
    - That is the aim of the NoAH project!



- NoAH: European <u>Network of Affined Honeypots</u>.
  - Ongoing research project.
  - Contact to international sites.
  - DFN-CERT will deploy demonstrator.
- Homepage: http://www.fp6-noah.org
- Major aims:
  - Distributed Network of honeypots to detect zero-day exploits and internet worms
  - Generate and disseminate signatures of found vulnerabilities and exploits.
  - Deploy a demonstrator.




- Hybrid architecture:
  - Deployment of low-interaction as well as high-interaction honeypots.
  - Low-interaction honeypots monitor IP addresses and relay connections to high-interaction honeypots.
  - Design allows to easily deploy low-interaction honeypots in arbitrary networks (e.g. ISP, company, home-user, CSIRT)
- High-interaction honeypots include Argos virtual machine.



- Argos:
  - Developed at Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam.
  - Based on *qemu* virtual machine.
  - Designed to detect exploits for buffer overflow and related vulnerabilities.
    - Tagging of all Network data.
    - Monitor use of tagged data.
    - Raise alert, if
      - Tagged data is executed.
      - Tagged data is loaded into EIP.
      - Tagged data is exclusively used in system call.







- NoAH's benefits for CSIRTs:
  - Detection of zero-day vulnerabilities
  - Tracking down selective attacks
  - Analysis of unknown exploit code
  - Analysis of potential vulnerabilities
- Helps to identify the attacks and vulnerabilities to keep attention to.



- Detection of zero-day vulnerabilities:
  - Potential to cover a broad range of IP addresses in different networks.
    - Low-interaction components are used as relays to highinteraction honeypots.
    - Integration of CSIRTs, companies, ISPs, and home-users (honey@home) into the NoAH architecture.
  - Argos containment environment allows to generate accurate signatures for vulnerabilities and exploits.
    - Signatures and alerts can be distributed very quickly.



- Detection of selective attacks:
- Why?
  - Attacker is prepared and motivated to attack the target.
    - Attack will be more sophisticated compared to nonselective attacks.
    - Better chance to detect zero-day exploits.
  - Selective attacks have usually higher impact for the victim.



- How to attract an attacker?
  - Attractive can be services, position (IP address), DNS name, and bandwidth.
    - Webservice of honeypot can provide (faked) research results or other attractive data (Clifford Stoll's "Cuckoo's Egg").
    - Honeypot is located in network of company or research institute.
    - DNS name can pretend to be an attractive target (e.g. router, server).



- How to use NoAH's architecture to track down selective attacks:
  - Low-interaction components (relays) can be easily integrated into arbitrary networks.
  - High-interaction honeypots (e.g. argos) allow to provide real services (web server).
  - NoAH components can be deployed in sensitive networks with acceptable risk for the deploying site.
  - Honeypot data is analyzed at the NoAH core.
    - Deploying sites do not need to spend effort into the analysis.
    - Results are distributed to the affected sites.



- Analysis of unknown exploit code:
  - Some products exist for monitoring malware at execution time (e.g. norman sandbox).
  - These products do not directly support the analysis of unknown exploit code:
    - Which vulnerability is being exploited?
    - Is the vulnerability already known?
    - Is the exploit working at all?



- Analysis of unknown exploit code:
  - Exploit code can be analysed and identified using argos:
    - Argos alert indicates succesfull application.
      - Exploit is detected before it gains control over the attacked machine.
      - Exploit code does not have to be fully working (e.g. due to wrong pointer offset).
    - Exploit can be identified by the corresponding argos signature.



- Analysis of potential Vulnerabilities:
  - My browser crashes, is this an unknown security problem?
  - Yes, if sensitive memory structures are overwritten by user data.
  - Argos can solve this problem:
    - Deploy the browser in the argos containment environment.
    - If argos raises an alert, a security problem can be expected.



- Thank you!
- Questions?